

**SOUTH MILTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN
QUESTIONNAIRE
SECTION 2 – OUR HOMES
RESPONSES SUMMARY**

SECTION 2

**OUR HOMES
RESPONSES SUMMARY**

**SOUTH MILTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN
QUESTIONNAIRE
SECTION 2 – OUR HOMES
RESPONSES SUMMARY**

2.1 Do you think there is a need for more housing provision in the parish over the next 20 years?			
	Sum	%	
0	25	13.3%	
1	6	3.2%	
2	6	3.2%	
3	12	6.4%	
4	11	5.9%	
5	25	13.3%	
6	22	11.7%	
7	27	14.4%	
8	28	14.9%	
9	7	3.7%	
10	19	10.1%	
TOTAL	188		
Lesser	60	31.9%	
Neutral	25	13.3%	
Greater	103	54.8%	

2.1a	If you think there is a need for more housing, do you think it should be		
	Sum	%	
Within the existing development boundary	84	53.8%	
Outside the existing development boundary and outside the Heritage Coast	14	9.0%	
Anywhere suitable in the parish	58	37.2%	
TOTAL	156		

**SOUTH MILTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN
QUESTIONNAIRE
SECTION 2 – OUR HOMES
RESPONSES SUMMARY**

2.1b	Should new housing be built in small or large developments?		
		Sum	%
	Developments of 1-3 houses	128	77.6%
	Developments of 4-9 houses	35	21.2%
	Developments of 10 or more houses	2	1.2%
	TOTAL	165	

2.2 Do you or your family have a need for a specific type of housing in South Milton parish?				
		Sum	Sum	Sum
	TYPE OF HOUSING (rent = 1	Rent	Buy	Shared
	Affordable	9	1	0
	Private	5	13	0
	Sheltered	3	2	0
	Adapted housing for disabl	2	1	0
	Live/work	1	6	0
	Self-build	3	15	0
	TOTAL	23	38	0
	NO OF BEDROOMS (use fractions where needed, eg 2/3 bedrooms input 2.5)			
	Affordable	Few responded to this part of the question. Those that did specified two or three bedrooms with a few specifying a single or four bedrooms		
	Private			
	Sheltered			
	Adapted housing for disabl			
	Live/work			
	Self-build			

**SOUTH MILTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN
QUESTIONNAIRE
SECTION 2 – OUR HOMES
RESPONSES SUMMARY**

		Thinking about the needs of the parish over the next 20 years					
2.3a	How great is the need for affordable housing?						
		Sum	%				
	0	12	6.6%				
	1	0	0.0%				
	2	8	4.4%				
	3	7	3.9%				
	4	8	4.4%				
	5	29	16.0%				
	6	16	8.8%				
	7	30	16.6%				
	8	26	14.4%				
	9	10	5.5%				
	10	35	19.3%				
	TOTAL	181					
	Lesser	35	19.3%				
	Neutral	29	16.0%				
	Greater	117	64.6%				

		Thinking about the needs of the parish over the next 20 years											
2.3b	Should legal agreements be added to planning permissions for affordable housing to give priority to people with local connections?												
		Sum	%										
	0	9	5.0%										
	1	1	0.6%										
	2	2	1.1%										
	3	2	1.1%										
	4	0	0.0%										
	5	12	6.7%										
	6	12	6.7%										
	7	13	7.3%										
	8	28	15.6%										
	9	24	13.4%										
	10	76	42.5%										
	TOTAL	179											
	Lesser	14	7.8%										
	Neutral	12	6.7%										
	Greater	153	85.5%										

**SOUTH MILTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN
QUESTIONNAIRE
SECTION 2 – OUR HOMES
RESPONSES SUMMARY**

Thinking about the needs of the parish over the next 20 years			
2.3c	Should we provide for live work units?		
	Sum	%	
	0	30	16.9%
	1	2	1.1%
	2	8	4.5%
	3	5	2.8%
	4	13	7.3%
	5	40	22.6%
	6	19	10.7%
	7	16	9.0%
	8	22	12.4%
	9	10	5.6%
	10	12	6.8%
	TOTAL	177	
	Lesser	58	32.8%
	Neutral	40	22.6%
	Greater	79	44.6%

Thinking about the needs of the parish over the next 20 years			
2.3d	Should we provide for self-build houses/flats?		
	Sum	%	
	0	33	18.2%
	1	8	4.4%
	2	12	6.6%
	3	7	3.9%
	4	5	2.8%
	5	42	23.2%
	6	18	9.9%
	7	15	8.3%
	8	20	11.0%
	9	8	4.4%
	10	13	7.2%
	TOTAL	181	
	Lesser	65	35.9%
	Neutral	42	23.2%
	Greater	74	40.9%

**SOUTH MILTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN
QUESTIONNAIRE
SECTION 2 – OUR HOMES
RESPONSES SUMMARY**

		Thinking about the needs of the parish over the next 20 years	
2.3e	Should we provide for sheltered housing for the elderly?		
		Sum	%
	0	16	8.8%
	1	2	1.1%
	2	5	2.7%
	3	6	3.3%
	4	6	3.3%
	5	30	16.5%
	6	15	8.2%
	7	24	13.2%
	8	37	20.3%
	9	16	8.8%
	10	25	13.7%
	TOTAL	182	
	Lesser	35	19.2%
	Neutral	30	16.5%
	Greater	117	64.3%

		Thinking about the needs of the parish over the next 20 years	
2.3f	Should we provide for open market housing?		
		Sum	%
	0	54	30.5%
	1	10	5.6%
	2	15	8.5%
	3	13	7.3%
	4	14	7.9%
	5	28	15.8%
	6	12	6.8%
	7	10	5.6%
	8	11	6.2%
	9	2	1.1%
	10	8	4.5%
	TOTAL	177	
	Lesser	106	59.9%
	Neutral	28	15.8%
	Greater	43	24.3%

SOUTH MILTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

QUESTIONNAIRE

SECTION 2 – OUR HOMES

RESPONSES SUMMARY

2.4 Are there any specific locations in the parish which you consider suitable for new housing development?

	COMMENT
1	No
2	They would have to be built on farmers fields as there is no other apparent available space
3	Middle Park - opposite side of road to existing affordable housing. Junction to Sutton, area of land with previous planning permission granted
4	Field by village hall
5	Wakeham farm buildings as it is no longer a farm
6	Field behind village hall
7	No
8	Wakeham farm
9	None
10	None
11	No
12	No
13	None
14	The barns towards Lane End on the left
15	No
16	Certainly not on any land that has not been previously developed!
17	Behind Backshay
18	The field in Wakeham Farm, below lane that lead to Trutes Court.
19	Land adjacent to old fruit farm
20	Keep parish small - there are other parishes that have far better infra-structures that can sustain further development
21	The only sites within devel.bound are the largish plots with ugly, inefficient 60's bungalows/houses.
22	Extend middle park - building in a line across to Links Rd.
23	On the Thurlestone road
24	Infill
25	NB No replies given to Q2.3 a-f
26	Farming land must be preserved. Infilling only
27	Don't know (depends if it spoils our lovely views). The big dilemma!
28	Behind village hall and land between barns near Sutton up to existing houses
29	Behind village hall
30	Barns at Sutton
31	Land behind existing houses and village hall from barns near Sutton to Hillingsdown
32	Such sites unknown to me
33	No knowledge of such sites
34	Any site within or immediately adjoining existing development but for no more than 10 houses per site
35	North of the village hall, and east between village and wakeham farm buildings at sutton so access can be obtained without the need to go through the village
36	Possibly within the garden area of some properties with adequate land
37	Behind hall to include car park for hall
38	area behind village hall
39	No
40	None
41	see below (2.6) comments
42	Since all the land is owned by someone, I find this question impossible to answer.
43	The field surrounding the centre of the village e.g. opposite the church
44	the village should develop around the core centre of the church, village hall, backshay to change from a long thin village to an oval/round village.
45	None
46	between Thurlestone Sands House and Links Court and between Backshays Close and the church in the long term
47	the field behind the cemetery or the field opposite Kerse Lane (not the nursery one)
48	behind the village hall
49	Land at the rear of the village hall is ideal for a small development of 5 units of housing.
50	behind the Willows
51	field behind Goldings, Meadows Meet, Manor Cottage, 1 & 2 Lower Court Cottages
52	just infilling
53	No, leave the parish as it is.
54	behind the village hall
55	no room for more building

**SOUTH MILTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN
QUESTIONNAIRE
SECTION 2 – OUR HOMES
RESPONSES SUMMARY**

2.5 Do you think any of the following is important for new housing					
	Important	Preferable	Unimportant	TOTAL	
Designed in a traditional style	112	51	12	175	
Designed in a contemporary style	7	12	104	123	
Includes car park spaces/garage	133	45	3	181	
Includes live/work opportunities	30	60	54	144	
A limit of two stories above the ground	148	23	5	176	
Reflects current standards of energy conservation	127	43	2	172	
Respects the local heritage and conservation areas in use of materials	139	30	3	172	
includes private gardens	104	57	11	172	
Includes green spaces around developments	91	53	18	162	

SOUTH MILTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

QUESTIONNAIRE

SECTION 2 – OUR HOMES

RESPONSES SUMMARY

2.6 Please use the space below if you wish to add any further comments regarding any questions in SectionTwo, Our Homes:		
		COMMENT
		In my opinion the only houses the parish requires are affordable houses for young people after all 1 they are the future of our village
		South Milton doesn't require affordable housing. Young people know that a "chocolate box", seaside village is not somewhere they can afford to get a foot on the property ladder. No facilities in the village are provided for this group of people, once they have worked their way up the ladder 2 they will be able to move back and might suit the older demographic more.
		The idea of additional/affordable housing is tempting, but it would be at the expense of the charm/feel of the parish. To build enough affordable housing to make a difference would change the façade of the village, and if new developments including features that enhance the village take place, (ie large houses, big gardens, nice looking) they would simply be snapped up as second 3 homes as no local person could afford them anyway.
		4 No developments please: individual units dispersed around parish perhaps
		Small developments of a few traditional style cottages infilling within the current development boundary would not detract from the village's pretty, traditional feel. A larger development of "affordable housing" if visible/central in the village would ruin the ambience & character, taking 5 away reasons why people have chosen to buy homes and settle in South Milton
		With reference Q2.1 I think it is imperative we protect the Heritage Coast for future generations; ie 6 our NP should endorse the policy expressed in paragraph 114 of the NPPF
		7 With no school, pub or shop I can't see how more housing is a good idea. It will just mean more people on already busy lanes
		As our village is part of AONB area the parish council should forceful towards the planning committee about the present day architect's vision of designing glass houses which are not in 8 keeping with our village structure
		9 Development should be kept to a miniumum
		10 I would not want to see the population increased in the village or the density of housing increased. We would move if that happened.
		Any homes built should be as sympathetic to the surrounding ANOB as possible, use local builders and some local materials to support local business. But expansion should not take away the 11 integrity of the small size of the village.
		12 Not for second homes, to be sold to others, as. then won't be any for our locals.too many second homes already in our two villages
		13 Should have max energy conservation. Push for self-build for 'locals'. Also, need to consider light pollution in design. There is a trend for huge windows into apex of roof that look like cruise ships in the night.
		14 All new homes regardless of being affordable should be built in a sustainable way and reflect the need to reduce carbon omissions by being energy efficient. They should also be built to reflect the local architecture and building materials available locally. Landscaping would also be an important feature.
		15 There are few or no houses to downsizing into - lots of older couples and singles who need to stay in the village for social reasons but have to stay in larger houses - perhaps a village development group could buy and knock down Marlin, The Willows, Manor Lodge, Dolphin Cottage for instance and build some smaller town-house style properties.
		16 No houses should be built beyond the need to house local village(rs) and families within the village. I object most strongly to 'affordable' housing being built in the village for the sake of it, and then as not enough local youngsters are able to afford them. Then the problem starts as unemployed people from towns are put into them

SOUTH MILTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN QUESTIONNAIRE SECTION 2 – OUR HOMES RESPONSES SUMMARY

2.6 Please use the space below if you wish to add any further comments on Section 2, Our Homes (Continued)

COMMENT	
17	Re Q 2.3e Sadly, is the village ideal for the very elderly and infirm with no pavements and no shops?
18	Any house is acceptable to me as long as it is within sensible boundaries and fits in with its surroundings
19	Provision should be made for affordable housing in a number of ways -- some renting, starter homes, shared ownership, etc, with an emphasis on meeting the housing needs of those with local connections. Some open market housing may be necessary to cross-subsidise
20	Having sat in on several meetings where the subject of further development has been discussed, I feel that generally people who already have homes to live in have very little appetite to see more development near to them, and wish to preserve their own lifestyle. This questionnaire seems to have come about due to a planning application relating to land close to the new church yard, which was not welcomed.
21	The design of the housing should be sympathetic to the site and take account of the nearby buildings. The village needs to accommodate new designs as well as traditional.
22	I would only support more homes if there was also provision for footpaths and cycle paths and communal green spaces to ensure car use was reduced.
23	Difficult to generalise, really needs to be decided against specific applications/ideas
24	I have no knowledge of the following locations being available, but if they are: Barns at Sutton, Church Land (opp Church*) * can SHDC's ruling on this land be challenged? Feoffees land (Sutton Cross), Behind village hall.
25	The field opposite the church, owned, as I understand by the Church commissioners, could be suitable for low cost housing or even temporary units such as supplied by Ikea to the UN for refugee use. There seems to be some secrecy about the ownership/rental of this land.
26	I think nimbysism on a grand scale will exempt out any thoughts of building in the parish. (remember Jonathan Munday's farmyard). Is mooted?
27	Please refer to my comments in section 1 I hadn't realised there was a housing section when writing them.
28	2.5 - there is a substantial ribbon housing in the village. Access roads to other areas must be built in when new homes are built, and infrastructure improved - water/sewage/roads/electricity/internet
29	Really we would like to say no to building more homes in the village but the population is increasing and it's something that we have to accept that more houses must be built. However, green spaces are important to the population too.
30	I think this is the most important subject in the questionnaire. The village of SM I believe should build 5-10 houses in the next 20 years but only affordable houses to buy help the younger people of the parish to stay here when they need their own homes. No single plots should be given permission as this will only attract rich outsiders to buy second homes of which we have too many already. There are several small areas in the village where small modest houses could be built and would not scar the landscape. This needs careful planning taking in adjoining properties and open spaces. I have 40 years' experience in dealing with council planning including historic properties.
31	I am not against building new houses in our village/area as long as they are in keeping with our village and affordable for local people. When we lived in Thurlestone at least 20 new homes were developed from the old shop, the farm, they were bought as second homes apart from 2-3 at the most. If we build locally it must be for local people or people who are going to live full time in our village.
32	no development please
33	To be able to build houses where needed for locals to be able to stay in the village especially in the farming community.